## **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

## DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Efran Salinas-Castaneda		Case Number:	09-6346M
present and w	e with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § a vas represented by counsel. I conclude by the defendant pending trial in this case.	3142(f), a detention hearing a preponderance of the e	ng was held on August 24, 2009. Defendant was vidence the defendant is a flight risk and order the
FINDINGS OF FACT			
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:		
$\boxtimes$	The defendant is not a citizen of the U	Inited States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence.
$\boxtimes$	The defendant, at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.		
	The defendant has no significant cont	acts in the United States o	r in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.		
	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.	
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.		
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.		
	There is a record of prior failure to app	pear in court as ordered.	
	The defendant attempted to evade law	v enforcement contact by f	leeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum o	fy	years imprisonment.
The C at the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	ed in the record.  ONCLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour
1. 2.	There is a serious risk that the defend No condition or combination of condition	lant will flee. ons will reasonably assure DNS REGARDING DETEN	the appearance of the defendant as required.
a corrections f appeal. The c of the United S	efendant is committed to the custody of facility separate, to the extent practicable, defendant shall be afforded a reasonable States or on request of an attorney for the the United States Marshal for the purpos	the Attorney General or his from persons awaiting or s opportunity for private cons Government, the person i	Wher designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a cour in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding.
IT IS 0 deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this	detention order be filed wit	h the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS I Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to ciently in advance of the hearing before e potential third party custodian.	a third party is to be consid the District Court to allow	dered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretria Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and
DATED this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of August, 2009.			
4			

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge